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Abstract

In this invited talk, we introduce a new transistor architecture based on inter-band tunneling mechanism as a step towards exploring steep switching transistors for energy efficient logic applications. While others have researched on developing these transistors in the Si, Ge and their alloys, we have focused specifically on narrow gap compound semiconductor (CS) systems to develop tunnel transistors. We address the following topics regarding the CS-based tunnel transistor architecture: a) the choice of appropriate materials to tune the transfer characteristics over a specified gate voltage swing b) the characteristic screening lengths in these device essential for scaling, c) an effective way to estimate the switching speed of tunnel transistors, d) digital circuit design methodologies utilizing tunnel transistors.

INTRODUCTION

Continued miniaturization of the silicon CMOS transistor technology, has resulted in an unprecedented increase in single-core and multi-core performance of modern-day microprocessors. However, the exponentially rising transistor count has also increased the overall power consumption, making performance per watt of energy consumption the key figure-of-merit for today’s high-performance microprocessors. Today, energy efficiency serves as the central tenet of high performance microprocessor technology at the system architecture level as well as the transistor level ushering in the era of energy efficient nanoelectronics. Aggressive supply voltage scaling while maintaining the transistor performance is a direct approach towards reducing the energy consumption since it reduces the dynamic power quadratically and the leakage power linearly. To that effect, narrow gap compound semiconductor-based (e.g. indium antimonide, indium arsenide and In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As) inter-band tunnel transistor (TFET) architecture could enable the next generation of logic transistors operating below 0.5 V supply voltage. In this invited paper, we present a comprehensive study of the basic TFET architecture, the optimum materials choice to improve TFET performance, the advanced TFET architectures, the transient response of the TFETs in a digital circuit configuration, and, finally, embedded memory design strategy with TFET.

INTER-BAND TUNNEL TRANSISTOR

Inter-band tunnel field effect transistors (TFETs) with a gate modulated zener tunnel junction at the source have recently attracted a great deal of interest, both theoretically and experimentally [1]-[4]. Figure 1 shows a schematic of an n-channel TFET architecture which incorporates a highly doped p+ source region, a near intrinsic channel region and n+ drain region. The n-channel TFET operates on the principle of band to band tunneling of electrons across the source to channel tunnel junction under the influence of a gate electric field. The major advantage of the TFETs in comparison with the metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors (MOSFETs) is that the reverse biased tunnel junction in the former eliminates the high energy tail present in the Fermi-Dirac distribution of the valence band electrons in the p+ source region and allows sub-kT/q sub-threshold slope device operation over a certain gate bias range near the off-state. This allows TFETs to achieve, in principle, much higher ION/IOFF ratio over a given gate voltage swing compared to the MOSFETs, making the TFET architecture an attractive vehicle to implement low supply voltage (VDD) digital logic circuits. Figure 2 shows the transfer characteristics of a silicon (Si) double gate 30 nanometer
gate length TFET benchmarked against a Si MOSFET of identical dimensions, both operating under 1V supply voltage. The very low off-state current of the TFET is set by the reverse bias saturation current of the p-i-n diode. Near the off-state, the TFET clearly exhibits < 60mV/decade which results in a vastly superior ION-IOFF ratio compared to the MOSFETs. However, the on current in a TFET is significantly lower than its MOSFET counterpart being limited by the low transmission rate of electrons across the reverse biased tunnel junction between the source and the channel. It is evident that narrow gap semiconductors such as Ge and InAs can significantly enhance the source side tunneling rate due to the combined effect of reduced barrier height and shorter tunneling distance in addition to the reduced tunneling mass. Figure 3 compares the transfer characteristics of Si, Ge and InAs TFETs under various supply voltages. The InAs TFET shows the highest current on current (275 μA/μm) at the lowest supply voltage of 0.25V with an ION/IOFF ratio exceeding 4x10^4. However, the InAs TFETs also exhibit strong ambipolar characteristics due to the tunneling of carriers from the drain into the channel at negative gate voltages. This warrants the need for other advanced tunnel transistor architecture in addition to introducing the narrow bandgap semiconductors to enhance its overall performance and its energy efficiency. We have recently fabricated a vertical InGaAs Tunnel FET by using a side gated structure. Fig 4 shows the SEM images of fabricated In0.53Ga0.47As vertical TFET featuring gate air-bridge and conformal high-k dielectric and metal gate stack on the sidewall. Fig. 5 shows the measured transfer and output characteristics of the 100nm channel length tunnel transistors at room temperature. The minimum current (“leakage floor”) at Vgage = 50mV is only 40 pA/μm increasing to 6nA/μm at Vgage = 0.75V. The corresponding on currents are 0.5μA/μm (linear) and 20μA/μm (saturation). This translates to Ion/IOFF ratio of ~ 10^4 and 3x10^3, respectively. Two distinct regions of operation are evident from the output characteristics – a reverse biased zener diode and a forward biased Esaki diode as a function of the gate voltage. Gated negative differential resistance (NDR) behavior in TFET output characteristics during drain-to-source forward bias operation confirm the inter-band tunneling process.

**HETEROJUNCTION TUNNEL TRANSISTOR**

Careful benchmarking with the state-of-the art double gated Si NMOS transistors indicate that, the homojunction InGaAs Tunnel FETs of similar dimensions (Tsi, Tox) will still fall short in terms of performance. This is illustrated in Fig. 6, where our fabricated device as well as projected TFET performance is compared with both high Vt and low VT CMOS transistors. In order to enhance the tunnel transistor performance, we propose two advanced TFET device architectures: (a) δ-TFET with a 2nm thin heavily doped n-type (δ-N+) layer adjoining the source and (b) δ-HTFET with a thin (3nm) layer of narrow band-gap material.

**Fig. 3** Transfer characteristics of double gate TFETs in Si, Ge and InAs semiconductors under various supply voltages [4]

**Fig. 4** Schematic and tilted view SEM of a fabricated InGaAs Tunnel FET with gate length of 100 nm. [5]

**Fig. 5** Experimental transfer (left) and output (right) characteristics of fabricated 100nm Lg InGaAs Tunnel FET (showing gated NDR effect) [5]

**Fig. 6** Transfer characteristics of fabricated and projected homojunction InGaAs TFET benchmarked against high and low Vt CMOS transistors
(p+-InAs) at the tunneling junction (Hetero-junction) with an adjoining δ-N+ layer as used for δ-TFET. For 3nm InAs, quantized band-gap of 0.5eV and conduction band offset of 0.1eV (Type-I) with In0.53Ga0.47As were considered. Fig. 6 shows transfer characteristics (ID-VGS) for each of them along with same EOT single gate intrinsic channel InGaAs tunnel FET (SG-i-TFET) and Si MOSFETs with low and high VT at VDS=0.5V.

Clearly, tunnel FETs have significant ION advantage at lower gate voltages due to their inherent steep slope switching. ION in i-TFET and SG-i-TFET is lower than high VT MOSFET, while, δ-TFET and δ-HTFET show higher ION for the entire gate voltage range. The presence of a depleted δ-N+ layer reduces tunneling width and presence of lower band-gap InAs reduces tunneling barrier. With an additive effect of both, δ-HTFET shows maximum ION surpassing the CMOS transistors.

TRANSIENT RESPONSE OF TUNNEL TRANSISTOR

TFET based digital inverter circuit can be constructed using an n-channel and p-channel TFETs (Fig. 8(a)-(b)) compares the transient response of silicon TFET with their MOSFET counterparts. Due to the presence of the source side tunneling barrier in silicon TFETs the gate capacitance is entirely reflected by the gate-to-drain capacitance which acts a feed forward element during switching and results in large voltage overshoot/undershoot in its switching characteristics. This adversely impacts the performance of TFETs for digital logic applications. It is shown in Figure 8(c) that TFETs based on lower bandgap and lower density of states materials like InAs show significant improvement in switching behavior since the source side tunnel barrier is more transparent and both gate-to-source and gate-to-drain capacitances contribute to the gate capacitance. Furthermore, the gate capacitance is limited by the quantum capacitance of the InAs channel which results in much lower voltage overshoot/undershoot in the transient characteristics (Figure 8(c)). The higher ON current at reduced supply voltages result in sub-picosecond delay in InAs TFET based inverters making them viable for future energy efficient digital logic applications.

SRAM PERFORMANCE OF TUNNEL TRANSISTOR

Due to its inherent asymmetric source and drain design and a built-in p-i-n diode, bidirectional current conduction is not possible in TFETs. This makes TFET based pass transistor implementation impossible resulting in 6T TFET SRAM with degraded read or write margins (Fig. 9). When the Tunnel FET access transistors face inward, the read margin is excellent and can be tuned by changing the relative strength of the pull down transistor and the access transistor. However, the margin suffers since the inward facing access TFET cannot discharge the storage node while writing a zero. When the Tunnel FET access transistors face outward, the opposite happens i.e. write margin is excellent and can be tuned by varying the relative strengths of the pull-up and access device, but read margin suffers. 7T and 8T TFET SRAM implementations with separate read and write port have been proposed compromising cell size. We implement a novel 6T TFET SRAM where inward M5 and outward M6 TFETs are used to write “1” and “0”, respectively, at the same node Q (Fig. 10). During writing, the cell is weakened by disabling the inverter pair cross-coupling via virtual ground. Excellent read and write noise margins are achieved in this novel 6T TFET SRAM (Fig.11) configuration.
CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated 100nm Lg vertical homojunction In0.53Ga0.47As TFET with 10^4 I_on/I_off ratio and 20µA/um on current. This is the highest performance Tunnel FET demonstrated till date in any material system. We have also designed more advanced TFET transistor incorporating heterojunction tunnel source architecture to boost on-current. Further, we have shown how to design a 6T SRAM cell using asymmetric source-drain TFET with excellent noise margin down to 0.3V supply voltage. We believe that compound semiconductor based TFET is promising device architecture for future ultra low power digital logic applications.
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ACRONYMS

TFET: Tunnel Field Effect Transistor
HTFET: Heterojunction Tunnel Field Effect Transistor
SRAM: Static Random Access Memory

CONCLUSIONS

Fig. 9 6T TFET SRAM cell using TFET pass transistors pointing (a) inward and (b) outward. Inward 6T TFET SRAM has good read margin but poor write margin whereas the outward TFET SRAM exhibits excellent write margin but poor read margin [8]

Fig. 10 Novel 6T TFET SRAM is designed where M5 and M6 TFETs are used to write “1” and “0”, respectively, at node Q. During write, the cell is weakened by disabling the inverter pair cross-coupling via virtual ground. Read operation is similar to inward 6T TFET SRAM [8]

Fig. 11. Excellent read and write noise margins achieved with the proposed 6T TFET SRAM cell with the SRAM cell exhibiting cell stability till 0.3V supply voltage [8]